For the Northern District of California

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	ANTHONY B. TILLMAN, No. C 10-4246 WHA (PR)
10	Petitioner, ORDER OF TRANSFER
11	vs.
12	MEDOZ K. POWERS,
13	Respondent.
14	/

This is a habeas case brought pro se by a state prisoner to challenge denial of parole. Petitioner was convicted in Sacramento County, which is in the Eastern District of California, and he is incarcerated at Corcoran State Prison, which is also in the Eastern District of California. Because petitioner's claim is about the denial of parole, it is a challenge to the execution of his sentence, rather than the validity of it. Venue for habeas cases involving state prisoners is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); the district of confinement is the preferable forum to review the execution of a sentence, Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); *Dunne v. Henman*, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) and Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b), and in the interests of justice, this petition is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September <u>27</u>, 2010.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\HC.10\TILLMAN4246.TRN.wpd